

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,203	02/25/2002	Tadataka Matsubayashi	500.41226X00	2859	
24956 7590	24956 7590 03/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ANGER, MALUR	FILIPCZYK,	FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R		
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, V	A 22314		2161		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/081,203	MATSUBAYASHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marc R Filipczyk	2161
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	ovember 2004.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>		
Application Papers	·	• •
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/22/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

#### Response to Amendment

This action is responsive to Applicant's response filed on November 22, 2004. Claims 1-9 have been amended and are pending.

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. amendments, 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/22/2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the IDS is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the **second** paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 4 and 7, the segment, "compound characteristic word and the constituent characteristic words included in the compound characteristic word" is indefinite.

Mathematically, if A=compound, and B=constituent, than A=A+B is invalid unless B=0 which is not what is claimed.

Regarding claims 2, 5 and 8, the phrase, "is registered" is indefinite. It is not clear what the term registered means in the claimed feature.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9 depend from 1, 4 and 7 respectively, thus contain the deficiencies of those claims and any other deficiencies stated above.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as best as the Examiner is able to ascertain as being anticipated by Noguchi et al. (U.S. Number 5,991,755).

Regarding claims 1, 4 and 7 Noguchi discloses a similar document search method, system, and program for searching for a document similar to a specified (seeds) document, comprising (see abstract):

a document analyzer processor for extracting at least one characteristic word from the seeds document including desired retrieval contents (fig. 6, item 18, and col. 5, lines 46-49);

a characteristic word extractor processor (fig. 6, item 15) for extracting as characteristic words of the seeds document, if the characteristic word extracted by the document analyzer processor is a compound characteristic word constructed by a plurality of constituent

Application/Control Number: 10/081,203 Page 4

Art Unit: 2161

characteristic words (col. 10, lines 8-16), the compound characteristic word and constituent characteristic words included in the characteristic word;

(Note I: all the steps performed on registered documents are also performed on the seeds document, see col. II, lines I-4) (Note 2: compound characteristic word constructed by a plurality of constituent characteristic words is interpreted as a sentence and words in the sentence, respectively)

a seeds document similarity calculator processor for calculating, according to the characteristic words extracted by the characteristic word extractor processor, similarity between the seeds document and a registration document and the document stored on the document database, by using the characteristic words including the compound characteristic word and the constituent characteristic words by which the compound characteristic word is constructed (fig. 6, item 22 and abstract); and

a retrieval result output processor for outputting a retrieval result as a result of the similarity calculated by the seeds document similarity calculator processor (fig. 6, item 17).

Regarding claims 2, 5 and 8, Noguchi discloses analyzing a compound characteristic word including a plurality of characteristic words (col. 10, lines 8-16).

Regarding claims 3, 6 and 9, Noguchi discloses a weighting coefficient and calculator for calculating similarity (fig. 6, item 23, col. 9, lines 22-35).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on November 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments and responses are listed above.

Applicant argues on page 8 of the 11/22/04 response, that various amendments were made to overcome indefinite issues raised in the Office Action.

Examiner disagrees. Most of the indefinite issues raised by the Examiner were not addressed by the Applicant, as such the rejections are outstanding.

Applicant argues on pages 9-12 of the 11/22/04 response, that most of the independent claim features are not taught or suggested by Noguchi or other prior art of record.

Examiner disagrees. In the rejection above, compound characteristic word constructed by a plurality of constituent characteristic words is further explained by the Examiner as a sentence and words in the sentence. Note, the extraction is obtaining and reading the sentence, and extraction further is breaking the sentence into words (see col. 5 lines 45-50 and 60-67). All the claimed features are disclosed by Noguchi and rejected accordingly, for more information please refer to the rejections above.

With respect to all the pending claims 1-9, Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's assertion based on the discussion and rejection cited above.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is (571) 272-4019. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,203

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF March 10, 2005 FRANTZ COBY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7